

## **OVW Funding**

This project was supported by Grant No. 15JOVW-22-GK-03986-MUMU awarded by the Office on Violence Against Women, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this program are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women.

2





4





О




"It's not easy to describe the fear you have when you see the stalker, or signs of the stalker, everywhere you go. I have given up all hopes of ever having a safe For the rest of my life, I will be looking over my shoulder, expecting to see him there." SPARC




"Stalking is homicide in slow motion. – Patrick Brady Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice University of Northern Colorado


10

12




Reporting Stalking 28% of stalking victims report to law enforcement • 16% of stalking victims seek victim Fewer than 29% of student stalking victims seek services on campus One study showed less than 8% disclosed to a formal support












Discuss: Is this stalking? 1. A student peeks into the women's locker room to watch the women undress. 2. An ex-partner repeatedly spreads vicious rumors about their former partner on social media. 3. A supervisor regularly asks her employee personal questions, mocks him in meetings, sends e-mails at odd hours and is verbally abusive when he doesn't respond right away.

18




A pattern of behavior...

\* Not a single incident or "one off" event

\* Called a "course of conduct" in most statutes





21





24

20

© 2018 AEquitas












Stalking Prevalence and Behavior SPARC 30







33







36


34















- Watch
- Wait
- Show up
- Tracking software
- · Obtain information about victim
- Proxy stalking

## LIFE INVASION



- Unwanted contact at home, work, etc.
- Showing up
- Phone calls
- Property invasion
- Public humiliation
- Harass friends/family

43

## INTIMIDATION



- Threats
- Property damage
- Symbolic violence
- Forced confrontations
- Threaten or actually harm self
- Threats to victim about harming others



- Financial and work sabotage
- Ruining reputation
- Custody interference
- Keep from leaving
- Road rage
- Attack family/friends/pets
- Physical/sexual attack

45

## Screening for Stalking


Has the Offender...

been tracking, following, or monitoring Victim in any way?



repeatedly invaded Victim's life/privacy by initiating unwanted contact with Victim?

significantly and directly interfered with Victim's life? >physically/sexually assaulted Victim during course of conduct? >forcibly kept Victim from leaving, held against will, caused



more than one time, intimidated or scared Victim through threats, property damage, threatening or actual harming of

pets, or other means?

Screening for Stalking If YES to any of the previous, during the actions mentioned above... Did these actions make Victim afraid or concerned for

safety or safety of children, family, and/or coworkers?


Did Victim make significant life changes for safety reason because of these actions? (change day-to-day routines, spend money on home safety, took time off work?)

Did these actions make Victim afraid or concerned about significant financial or social impact? (Loss of job, loss of housing, financial harm?)

NOT AT ALL? SOMEWHAT? EXTREMELY?

48









**Changing Behaviors** \*78% of stalkers use more than one means of approach \* 66% of stalkers pursue their victim at least once per week











%	Intimate	Acquaintance	Private Stranger	Public Figure
Presence of Threats	83	66	50	18
Presence of Violence	74	50	36	2

Intimate partner stalkers are more likely to:
physically approach the victim
be interfering, insulting, and threatening
use weapons
escalate behaviors quickly
re-offend
Mohandie, K., Meloy, J.R., McGovan, M.G., & Williams, J. (2006). The RECON Typology of Stalking: Reliability and Validity Based upon a Large Sumple of North American Stalkers. Journal of Forentic Sciences, 51 (1), 147-155.

Study of Women with Protective Orders

Women who were abused and stalked experienced significantly higher rates of the following than women who were abused but not stalked:


\* Verbal abuse, degradation, jealousy and control \* Serious threats

\* Moderate and severe physical violence

\* Sexual violence and sexual assault

\* Threats to kill and threats with a weapon

\* Being beat up, attacks with a weapon and injury




Co-Victimizations: Stalking and Sexual Violence SPARC

62

64


66



Intersections of Stalking & Sexual **Assault** Stalker threatens/plans to sexually assault the victim. Stalker attempts to get someone else to assault the victim. Stalker sexually assaults the victim. Stalker contacts victim after sexual assault. SPARC



How Offenders Groom for Sexual **Violence** 1. Research and identify vulnerable victims 2. Establish relationships: contact, build trust 3. Meet in-person and isolate the victim 4. Coerce into sexual act(s) 5. Contact after



Behavior	Abuse Only No Stalking or Rape	Stalking	
Sexual Degradation	45%	61%	
Sexual Coercion	49.3%	78%	
Verbal Pressure	33.8%	68%	
Substance Use	4.2%	19%	
Implicit Threats/Force	21%	47.5%	
Penetration While Victim Sleeping	11%	15%	





















78





